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Presentation Outline

- Selective Research Overview of the International Operations / Global Supply Chain Research of the Last 10 Years
  - Studied issues
  - Modeling paradigms
  - Emerging concepts

- Teaching International Operations – from Research to (E)MBA Classroom
  - Concepts from above research
  - Connections to cases
Global Supply Chain

Integration across three different dimensions

- Functional
- Sectoral
- Geographic

Intra-Company Integration

Inter-Firm Integration

Coordination of geographically dispersed facilities and markets
The Problem:
Acrilan a Solutia (Monsanto spin-off) Division, has historically sold about a third of its fiber into the sweater/single knit market.

Over the years, this market has eroded steadily as Asian finished goods imports have taken share away from the U.S.-based producers. The U.S. sweater producers have not been able to remain cost competitive versus the Asian companies. The U.S. yarn producers are selling less yarn to their U.S. customers as a result, and are facing high cost structures as well. The Asian crisis has accelerated some of these trends.
WHY DO FIRMS GLOBALIZE THEIR OPERATIONS: A CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

Globalization Process

Market Growth & Priorities
- Foreign Competition
- Foreign Demand Growth
- Nature of Competition
- State of Art Markets

Technology Development
- Access to Component Supply
- Access to Process Technology
- Global R&D
- Technology JV’s

Cost Considerations
- Direct Labor Cost
- Total Quality Costs
- Outsourcing Fallacies
- Capital Investments & Economies of scale
- Growth Subsidies

Political and Macroeconomic Factors
- Exchange Rates
- Trade Agreements
- Global Institutional Agreements
- Quotas
- Government as the Mega Force
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Presentation Outline

- **Integrated Risk Management in Global Supply Chains**
  - Research
    - Operational Hedging ("embedded real options")
    - Dynamic Production / Sourcing Strategies & Exchange Rate Uncertainty ("Hysteresis" phenomena)
    - Integrated Operational & Financial Hedging
  - Teaching
    - Examples
    - Cases: Applichem

- **Design & Planning of Global Supply Chain Network**
  - Teaching
    - Role of Factories/Conceptual Approach to Design
    - Cases: Plant Location Puzzle/ Whelan Pharmaceuticals
  - Research
    - Mathematical Programming Approaches & Decision Support
    - Structural Equations Modeling (Global Sensitivity Analysis / Insights)

- **Off-shoring & Global (Out) Sourcing Strategies**
  - Research
    - Stylized Modeling for Offshoring
    - Role of Vertical Integration / Outsourcing for Emerging Markets
  - Teaching
    - Case: Mattel (toy industry)

- **Future Research Directions**
Valuing Operational Flexibility Under Exchange Rate Risk

- Modeling framework: Stochastic Dynamic Program for Real Options Valuation

 Reference: A. Huchzermeier and M. Cohen, 1996, OR, 44, 1, 100-113
Operational Hedging

No-switching case

Expected after-tax profit

$100

$550

Global Manufacturing Strategy Options

Costly switching:

Expected after-tax profit

$100

$550

Global Manufacturing Strategy Options
Production Shifting Strategies in a Two Plant Un-capacitated Network

Model

- Configuration: Two plants in different countries
- Uncertainty: Real exchange rates
- Decision: Where to produce the total product demand

\[ V(\theta_t, l) = \min_{m \in \{1, 2\}} \left\{ -K_{l,m} + SP_m(\theta_t) + \rho E_t V(\theta_{t+1}, m) \right\} \]

Main result: "Hysteresis" (failure of an effect to reverse itself as its underlying cause is reversed)

Reference: B. Kogut and N. Kulatilaka, 1994, MS, 40, 1, 123-139
Production Shifting Strategies in a Two Plant Capacitated Network

Model

- Configuration: Two plants in different countries, capacitated situation (Capacities $K_1 + K_2 = D + S$)
- Uncertainty: Real exchange rates
- Decision: How to allocate excess capacity

$$V(\theta, y) = \min_{0 \leq x \leq S} \left\{ \phi(\theta, x) + \delta(y, x) + \rho EV(\theta', x) \right\}$$

Switchover cost assumption: $\delta(y, x) = \Delta |x - y|$ or $\delta(x, y) = \Delta$

Operating cost definition: $\phi(\theta, x) = c_1(\theta, K_1 - S + x) + c_2(\theta, K_2 - x)$

Reference: S. Dasu and L. Li, 1997, MS, 43, 5, 705-722
Main results

- Case of concave production costs
  - Capacity allocation: Do nothing \((x^* = y)\) or extreme point \((x^* = 0, x^* = S)\)

- Timing of switching: “Hysteresis” (between 0 and S)

- The Hysteresis band increases as:
  - Exchange rate uncertainty \textit{increases}
  - Switchover costs \textit{increase}
Main results
- Case of convex production costs and linear switchover costs
  - Capacity allocation

\[
  x^*(\theta, y) = \begin{cases} 
  x_1(\theta) & 0 \leq y \leq x_1(\theta) \\
  y & x_1(\theta) \leq y \leq x_2(\theta) \\
  x_2(\theta) & x_2(\theta) \leq y \leq S 
  \end{cases}
\]

Diagram:
- \( \theta_0 \)
- \( x_1(\theta_0) \)
- \( x_2(\theta_0) \)
- Move to
- Do nothing
- Move to
Global Supply Chain Management

Optimal Operating Policies for Multi-Plant Stochastic Manufacturing Systems

- Issues modeled
  - Congestion & delay through demand and processing time uncertainties
  - Exchange rate uncertainty
  - Allowing production to stock (inventories)
- Production facilities in two countries
  - Demand ~ Poisson (λ)
  - Proc. Time Facility ~ Exponential (μ⁻¹)
  - Θ = exchange rate
  - c_i(Θ) = per unit cost facility i (c_1(Θ) ↓ and c_2(Θ) ↑ in Θ)
- Optimal Policy: Barrier type

![Graph showing inventory vs exchange rate]

- Plant operated for inventory level < barrier
- Primary / Secondary plant role
- Inventory as a hedge: when one plant significantly cheaper than the other
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Optimal Policies with Unreliable Suppliers and Currency Exchange Risks

Capacity Alternatives

- Produce Domestic
- Produce Foreign
- Sell Domestic
- Sell Foreign

Domestic Investment
No flexibility

Domestic Parent Plant, Foreign Subsidiary
Semi flexible

Two Plant Model
Fully flexible

Reference: Aytekin/Birge – Supplier and Exchange Risk
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Value Calculations

No Flexibility:

\[ V_{\text{noflex}} = E\left[\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-rt}((s_1 - c_1)d_1 + (s_2 Y_t - c_1)d_2)Z_t^1 \, dt\right] \]

Semi-flexibility \( (k_1 \geq d_1 + d_2) \):

Instantaneous cash flow:

\[ p(t) = (s_1 - c_1)d_1 Z_t^1 + \max(d_2(s_2 Y_t - c_1)Z_t^1, (d_2 - k_2)(s_2 Y_t - c_1)Z_t^1 + k_2 Y_t(s_2 - c_2)Z_t^2)) \]

\[ V_{\text{semi-flex}}(\bar{k}) = E\left[\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-rt}p(t) \, dt\right] \]

\[ = E\left[\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-rt}(((s_1 - c_1)d_1 + d_2(s_2 Y_t - c_1))Z_t^1 \right. \\
\left. + k_2 c_2 \max(0, (\frac{a}{c_2} - Y_t)Z_t^2)) \, dt\right] \]

Reference: Aytekin/Birge – Supplier and Exchange Risk™

Prof. Panos Kouvelis
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VOLATILITY EFFECT

Reference: Aytekin/Birge – Supplier and Exchange Risk

Prof. Panos Kouvelis
Emerson Distinguished Professor of Operations and Manufacturing Management
Ownership Strategies of Production Facilities Supplying Foreign Markets

Main tradeoffs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Production Strategy</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Resource Commitment</th>
<th>Dissemination Risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EXP</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JV</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOS</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Effect of real exchange rates on the long term ownership strategies of production facilities in foreign markets

- P.Kouvelis, K.Axarloglou and V.Sinha, 2001, “Exchange rates and the choice of ownership structure of production facilities” MS, 47(8), 1063-1080
RESULTS

- **Hypothesis 1:**
  - The WOS production strategy is preferred over the JV strategy when the domestic currency is appreciated with respect to the foreign currency

- **Hypothesis 2:**
  - The WOS strategy is preferred over the EXP strategy when the domestic currency is appreciated with respect to the foreign currency

- **Hypothesis 3:**
  - The “Hysteresis Band” expands as the volatility of the exchange rate increases

- **Hypothesis 4:**
  - Less competitive industries imply a wider “Hysteresis Band”

- **Hypothesis 5:**
  - The “Hysteresis Band” is narrower for industries in markets of high demand.
TWO RESEARCH PROJECTS ON INTEGRATED RISK MANAGEMENT

Take the perspective of a global firm who sells to both domestic and foreign markets

- **Demand uncertainty**

Domestic Market (1) \( d_1 \) \( \rightarrow \) Currency exchange rate uncertainty \( \rightarrow \) Foreign Market (2) \( d_2 \)


How does Financial Hedging Work?

Example I: An US firm has account receivable of €2M in 3 months

- **Transaction Exposure**

Example II: An US firm produces in US and sells in Europe 1M units in 3 months, with production cost $5/unit and sales price €10/unit

- **Transaction Exposure**
When doesn’t Financial Hedging Work Well?

- Example I: An US firm produces in US and sells in Europe 1M units in 3 months, with production cost $5/unit and sales price €10/unit

- **Uncertain sale quantity**, partially correlated to the exchange rate, \( sales = 1.2s\xi M \) units, \( \xi \) is random

- **Competitor’s committed quantity** \( q \) is unclear in the European market, *market clearing price* = \( 15 - 5(1+q) \),

\[
(10s-5)^+ 1.2s\xi M
\]

\[
((15-5(1+q))s-5)^+ 1M
\]

\[
q=0 \quad q=0.5(M) \quad q=1(M)
\]
Paper #1: Integration of Operational & Financial Policies

Research Questions:
1. What is the optimal integrated operational and financial policy to maximize firm’s utility?
2. Effects of operational hedge and financial hedge on capacity decision and firm’s performance
Model – Two Stage Stochastic Program

Time 0
Information: Time 1 demand and currency exchange rate distributions
Decisions: Capacity \( X \Rightarrow \) Capacity reservation cost \( C(X) \)
Financial contracts \( h \Rightarrow \) financial contracts cost \( H(h) \)

Time 1
Information: Realizations of demand and currency exchange rate
Decisions: Recourse decisions to maximize operations profit \( \pi^{op}(X,s,d) \),
exercise \( h \) to maximize the payoff of financial contract \( R(s,h) \)

Effective time 1 profit
\[
\pi(X,h,s,d) = (-C(X) - H(h))e^\gamma + \pi^{op}(X,s,d) + R(s,h)
\]
\( \gamma \) is the risk-free interest rate
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Close Linkage Between Financial Hedging and Real Options in Operations

If $\pi^{op}$ can be written as profit from a portfolio of real options, i.e.,

$$\pi^{op}(X,s,d) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i(X,d)(s - S_i^C)^+ + \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_i(X,d)(S_i^P - s)^+ + c(X,d)$$

and $d$ and $s$ are independent, then the **optimal financial hedging policy** is a portfolio of call options $\left(S_i^C, \mathbb{E}\left[ a_i(X,d) \right] \right)_{i=1}^{n}$ and a portfolio of put options $\left(S_i^P, \mathbb{E}\left[ b_i(X,d) \right] \right)_{i=1}^{m}$. 
**Examples of Optimal Financial Hedge**

- **No Postponement**

  \[
  \pi^{op}(X, s, d) = p_1 \min(X_1, d_1) + sp_2 \min(X_2, d_2)
  \]

- **Postponement with capacity pooling**

  \[
  \pi^{op}(X, s, d_1, d_2) = r_2^+(s) \min((X - d_1)^+, d_2) \\
  + \left( r_2(s) - r_1 \right)^+ \left[ \min(X_2, d_2) - \min((X - d_1)^+, d_2) \right] \\
  + r_1 \min(X, d_1).
  \]
Capacity decisions of firms using financial hedge are less sensitive to volatilities in exchange rate, demand, and risk attitude.

The use, or lack of use of financial hedge can alter **global supply chain structural choices**, such as the desired location and number of production facilities to be employed to meet global demand.
The Global Manufacturer’s Dilemma

- Suppose we can produce in U.S. or Europe
- Demand and currency rates may vary
- Where to put production capacity?
- How much to produce in each country?
- Should we use currency futures (or other options)

Example: John Birge’s presentation
Global Manufacturer Details

- Suppose high demand in U.S. vs. Europe means higher U.S.$ value per Euro
- High U.S. demand 100k, Euro is 50k, and $1/Euro
- Low U.S. demand 50k, Euro is 100k, and $2/Euro
- Sell for 20k E or $ in each region
- Cost is 10k E or $ in each region
- How to hedge? Futures?
Manufacturer and Futures: Do Natural Hedges & Futures Work?

- Suppose produce and sell in each country ("natural hedge")
- Use futures to guarantee value in $
- Sell 500M Euro in future for $1.50 (expectation)
  - $750M = (50k sales)(10k E margin)($1.5/E)
- Return:
  - Guaranteed $750M
  - High U.S.: $1000M (1/2 prob.)
  - Low U.S.: $1500M (1/2 prob. 500U.S. plus 1B E)
  - EXPECTATION: $2000M
Using Operational Hedges in Place of Financial

- Suppose we just produce in Europe when U.S. demand is high and just in U.S when U.S. demand is low
- Result:
  - U.S. demand high:
    - Sales: $2000M in U.S. + $1000M in Europe
    - Cost: $1500M in Europe
    - Net: $3000-1500=$1500M
  - U.S. demand low:
    - $1000M in U.S. + $4000M in Europe - $1500M in U.S.
    - Net: $5000-1500=$3500M
  - Expectation: $2500M!! (>>$2000M with financial hedging)
Research Questions:

1. What are the effects on the global firm’s capacity strategy in response to the competitive exposure?

2. How do operational flexibilities affect firms’ profits and risks associated with exposure to fluctuating exchange rates?
Operational Flexibility Exploits Favorable Exchange Rate Realizations

- **Natural Hedge**: Sales in Market 2
  - $q_2$ vs. Exchange Rate x Demand Scaling Factor $s\epsilon_2$

- **Postponement without Pooling**: Demand Scaling Factor $\epsilon_1$
  - $K_{11}$ vs. Demand Scaling Factor $\epsilon_1$

- **Capacity Pooling**: Low Demand Scenario in Domestic Market 1
  - $q_2, q_1$ vs. Exchange Rate x Demand Scaling Factor $s\epsilon_2$
  - $K_{11}(\epsilon_1)$ vs. Demand Scaling Factor $\epsilon_1$

- **High Demand Scenario in Domestic Market 1**: $q_2, q_1$ vs. Exchange Rate x Demand Scaling Factor $s\epsilon_2$
## Effect of Global Firm’s Operational Flexibility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effect of ↑</th>
<th>Optimal capacity</th>
<th>Expected profit</th>
<th>Downside risk (VaR, EDR)</th>
<th>Expected profit</th>
<th>Downside risk (VaR, EDR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>↑ op. flexibility</td>
<td>~</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>~</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>↑ exchange rate volatility</td>
<td>↑ (under op. flex.)</td>
<td>↑ (under op. flex., fastest under capacity pooling)</td>
<td>↑ (slowest under capacity pooling)</td>
<td>↑ (under op. flex.)</td>
<td>↑ (under op. flex.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>↑ capacity in foreign mkt.</td>
<td>Non-monotone</td>
<td>↑ (decreases in exchange rate volatility)</td>
<td>Non-monotone</td>
<td>Non-monotone</td>
<td>Non-monotone</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Applichem Case Study: Operational Flexibility and Exchange Rate

### TABLE 4 Optimal Distribution Logistics Schedule (Million lbs)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>From/To</th>
<th>Mexico</th>
<th>Canada</th>
<th>Venezuela</th>
<th>Germany</th>
<th>US</th>
<th>Japan</th>
<th>Tot. Prod</th>
<th>Capacity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>6.20</td>
<td>&lt;= 22.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>&lt;= 3.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venezuela</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>&lt;= 4.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>11.50</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>11.90</td>
<td>47.00</td>
<td>&lt;= 47.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>18.50</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>18.50</td>
<td>&lt;= 18.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>&lt;= 5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tot. Sale</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>26.40</td>
<td>11.90</td>
<td>30.90</td>
<td>= 30.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demand</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>26.40</td>
<td>11.90</td>
<td>30.90</td>
<td>= 30.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Minimum Cost: $73.9 million
- Configuration Changes:
  - Sunchem(Japan) should be closed, with Frankfurt plant supplies its needs
A configuration is defined by a set of production capacities in various countries.

Possible plant configurations for Applichem to satisfy worldwide demand:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Total capacity</th>
<th>Plants closed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>100.7</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>96.2</td>
<td>Venezuela</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4</td>
<td>95.7</td>
<td>Sunchem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5</td>
<td>92.5</td>
<td>Canada &amp; Venezuela</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>Canada &amp; Sunchem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C7</td>
<td>91.2</td>
<td>Venezuela &amp; Sunchem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C8</td>
<td>87.5</td>
<td>Canada, Venezuela &amp; Sunchem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C9</td>
<td>82.2</td>
<td>Gary</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Minimum 1994 Cost for each Historical Scenario/Configuration

Configurations
Option Value of Stochastic Recourse

- Keep all plants open except Japanese plant
  - Certainty level is 49.84% from 747.72 to 2500
  - $\mu=760.31; \sigma=523.05$

- Close Canada, Japanese and Venezuela plants
  - Certainty level is 45.98% from 747.72 to 2500
  - $\mu=689.33; \sigma=720.97$

Option Value increases with the number of open plants
## Presentation Outline

### Integrated Risk Management in Global Supply Chains
- **Research**
  - Operational Hedging ("embedded real options")
  - Dynamic Production / Sourcing Strategies & Exchange Rate Uncertainty ("Hysteresis" phenomena)
  - Integrated Operational & Financial Hedging
- **Teaching**
  - Examples
  - Cases: Applichem

### Design & Planning of Global Supply Chain Network
- **Teaching**
  - Role of Factories/Conceptual Approach to Design
  - Case: Plant Location Puzzle
- **Research**
  - Mathematical Programming Approaches & Decision Support
  - Structural Equations Modeling (Global Sensitivity Analysis / Insights)

### Global (Out)sourcing Strategies
- **Research**
  - Stylized Modeling for Offshoring
  - Role of Vertical Integration / Outsourcing for Emerging Markets
- **Teaching**
  - Case: Mattel (toy industry)

### Future Research Directions
THE ROLE OF FOREIGN FACTORIES – A STRATEGIC MATRIX

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HIGH SITE COMPETENCE</th>
<th>LOW SITE COMPETENCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Offshore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>Outpost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contributor</td>
<td>Server</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Access to LOW COST Production
Access to SKILLS & KNOWLEDGE
Proximity to MARKET

STRATEGIC REASON FOR THIS SITE

Conceptual Framework

1. Market focus

2. Plant focus

3. Network dispersion
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Plant Location Puzzle (HBR mini-case)

Case Situation: Our Company ELDORA (EDC)

- Bicycle Manufacturer
- 30% Market Share in the US
- Saturation of US Market
- …< 2% growth
- Expansive growth in Asian Markets
- …sales doubling
- EDC considers Global expansion
- …with an eye towards Asian markets

Among Expansion Alternatives Considered:
- Enter China via sales company
- …outsource production
- Greenfield plant investment
- …but where? China? Taiwan? Singapore?
Design of Global Supply Chain Networks

- Critical issues for a global firm
  - Duties
  - Differing tax rates
  - Government financing incentives
  - Exchange rates
  - Local content
  - Offset trade
  - Quotas
  - Transfer prices
  - Direct export incentives
  - Ownership structure of facilities
The DEC Problem and Model

A word description of the cost-only DEC model is:

- Minimize:
  - Fixed and variable production + distribution + inventory + taxes + net duty + procurement from vendors

- Subject to:
  - Demand is met
  - “Conservation” of product flow
  - Production limitations
  - Inventory limitations
  - Distribution limitations
  - Local content restrictions
  - “Conservation” of duty credits
  - Style limitations
  - Facility use limitations

Development of International Facility Networks with Financing Trade Tariff and Tax Considerations

- **Model structure**
  - Deterministic model
  - Two facility levels: Component plants and DCs (assembly and distribution)
  - Location and production allocation decision
  - Objective after-tax profit maximization

- **Some results**
  - Trade tariffs increase --> decentralization of distribution network
  - Differential tax rates --> more centralized Mfg. and distribution network
  - Regional trading zones (with inexpensive component transportation) --> “regionalization” effect on facility network (decentralized over trading zones, centralized within each zone)
  - Increases in local or regional content requirement
    - -->if reasonable, attract investment in the country or zone
    - -->if too high, opposite effect (complete facility withdrawal)

**Structural Equations Modeling for Designing and Monitoring Strategic Intl. Facility Networks**

Formulate complex MIPs---- see below

**Maximize** *Net Present Value of:* 

- [Revenue from units & subassemblies produced 
  - *minus* (variable production costs & cost of goods sold + annual fixed costs of a DCs & subassembly plants + transportation costs + loan interest payment + depreciation) 
  - *plus* (loan interest payment + depreciation) 
  - *minus* (loan payment + income taxes)] 
- *minus* cash expenditures in fixed assets in year 0 not financed by external sources

**Subject to:**

1) Demand Constraints  
2) Subassembly Line Capacity  
3) Plant Capacity  
4) DC Capacity  
5) Conservation of Subassembly Flows  
6) Loan Ceilings  
7) Nonnegative Profit in Each Country  
8) Count # of Different Subassemblies Produced at Each Plant  
9) Non-negativity & Binary Constraints

**Finally get specific solutions to very specific data sets**

*But no managerial insights for a fast changing environment (product mix, labor inputs, technologies, governmental & regulatory constraints, exchange rates etc.)*
Global Sensitivity Analysis

- Wagner (1995)
- Summarizes complicated model in order to predict outcome via a few key IVs.
- Running the deterministic model multiple times for a variety of the IVs to produce a wide range of output.

**IVs**
- EOS
- COM
- TRANS1
- TRANS2

**DV$s**
- Market focus
- Plant focus
- Network Dispersion
The Structural Equations

- Determines the most influential independent variables, using the estimate of one-at-a-time approach.
- Each dependent variable was regressed separately against a polynomial fit that allows various combinations of terms of the form $x^a y^b z^c$
- Stepwise regression $\rightarrow$ best fit

\begin{align*}
\text{MARKET} &= -0.089 + 0.033 \text{TRANS}^3 - 0.018 \text{TRANS}^2 \cdot \text{TRANS}_2 - \\
&\quad 0.0000536 \text{TRANS}^3 \cdot \text{TRANS}_2 + 0.0000000768 \text{TRANS}^4 \cdot \text{TRANS}^2 - \\
&\quad 0.000000000373 \text{TRANS}^3 \cdot \text{TRANS}_2^3 \\
\text{PLANT} &= -12.537 + 9.875 \text{COM}^{-1} + 0.003 \text{EOS}^3 \cdot \text{COM}^{-3} + 0.428 \text{COM}^{-4} + 3.752 \text{COM} - \\
&\quad 0.005 \text{COM}^{-4} - 1.055 \text{COM}^{-5} - 0.004 \text{EOS}^3 \cdot \text{COM}^{-3} + 8.036 \text{EOS} \cdot \text{COM}^3 - 5.751 \text{EOS} \cdot \text{COM}^{-3} \\
\text{DIS} &= -0.138 + 10.17 \text{COM} \cdot \text{TRANS}_2 + 0.002 \text{EOS}^3 \cdot \text{TRANS}_2 - 7.819 \text{COM}^2 \cdot \text{TRANS}_2 - \\
&\quad 2106.061 \text{EOS}^3 \cdot \text{TRANS}_2 + 1.798 \text{COM}^3 \cdot \text{TRANS}_2 - 1165.671 \text{TRANS}_2^4
\end{align*}
Conclusions from Structural Equations Modeling of International Facilities Networks

Main Contributions
1. A conceptual framework classifying global facility network structures along 3 dimensions that can be operationalized as a managerial tool to develop & monitor networks
2. An approach for developing structural equations to classify network structures via relatively simple calculations of primary levers
3. A decision tree and a robust set of equations under which firms can classify themselves into one of eight general “industry groups” without the need to run regressions
4. Illustration of the effects of subsidized financing & taxation on the strategic network structure as well as the tactical allocation of production among countries

Impacts
1. Economies of scale, complexity costs, transportation costs, and tariffs affect the strategic network structure
2. Given a network classification subsidized financing and taxations can significantly affect location decisions within the network
Whelan Pharmaceuticals (HBS Case)

- Location choice:
  - MD
  - PR
  - IRELAND
  - CONT. EUROPE

- Why do international tax planning?
  - Lots of $ - Willie Sutton, Why Rob Banks?
  - Name companies with >= 50% of Revenues Int'l: (McDonald's, GM, Ford, ...)
  - Affects Supply Chain Location Decisions

- Discussion issues:
  - Basics of international taxation
  - How taxes affect supply chain decisions
  - How tax and nontax factors interact
  - Difficulty & importance of decisions
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To Offshore or Not to Offshore

A firm that manufactures two products to serve two geographically separated markets using a common component and two other product-specific components. The common part can be transported between the two markets that have different demand and financial characteristics.

Two strategic network design questions arise naturally in this context:

(1) Should the common part be produced centrally or in two local facilities?

(2) If a centralization strategy is adopted for the common component, which market should the facility be located in?

Reference: L. X. Lu and J. A. Van Miegham. 2006 “To Offshore or Not to Offshore: Sourcing and Location of Commonality in Multiplant Networks”, Working paper, Kellog, Northwestern Univ
Four Possible Configurations of a Multiplant Network

1. Decentralization

2. Product Plant

3. Centralization: High Price

4. Centralization: Low Cost

Reference: L. X. Lu and J. A. Van Miegham. 2006 “To Offshore or Not to Offshore: Sourcing and Location of Commonality in Multiplant Networks”, Working paper, Kellog, Northwestern Univ

Global Supply Chain Management
Model Formulation: News Vendor Network Problem

Financial Characteristics:
- \( c_K \) - Capacity Cost
- \( c_M \) - Comm. Comp. Mfg. Cost
- \( c_T \) - Transportation Cost
- \( p \) - Price
- \( v \) - Net Value

Key Assumptions:
- Single period
- Investment decision made before demand is realized
- Linear production and financial structure
- \( p_1 \geq p_2 \)
- \( \Delta p = p_1 - p_2 \)
- \( c_{M,1} \geq c_{M,2} \)
- \( \Delta c_M = c_{M,1} - c_{M,2} \)

Reference: L. X. Lu and J. A. Van Mieghem. 2006 “To Offshore or Not to Offshore: Sourcing and Location of Commonality in Multiplant Networks”, Working paper, Kellog, Northwestern Univ
Optimal Network Configurations: Centralize or Decentralize? - High & Medium Δp

\[ \bar{c}_T = \frac{\bar{P}_3 \Delta p - \Delta c_M - c_{K,1}}{1 - \bar{P}_3} \]

where \( \bar{P}_3 = P(D_1 > \bar{K}_1, D_2 > 0) \) and \( (\bar{K}_1, \bar{K}_2, \bar{K}_1, 0) \) is a boundary solution.

When \( \min(\Delta p, c_T) \geq \Delta c_M \), the optimal investment strategy depends on the relative cost of transportation \( c_T \):

(i) If \( c_T < \bar{c}_T \), it is optimal to centralize commonality in the high-price market.
(ii) If \( c_T \geq \bar{c}_T \), it is optimal to invest commonality in both markets.

Reference: L. X. Lu and J. A. Van Mieghem. 2006 “To Offshore or Not to Offshore: Sourcing and Location of Commonality in Multiplant Networks”, Working paper, Kellog, Northwestern Univ
Optimal Network Configurations: Centralize or Decentralize? - Low $\Delta p$

\[
\hat{c}_T = \frac{\Delta c_M - \hat{P}_3 \Delta p - c_{K,2}}{1 - \hat{P}_3}
\]

where $\hat{P}_3 = P(D_1 > 0, D_2 > \hat{K}_2)$ and $(\hat{K}_1, \hat{K}_2, 0, \hat{K}_2)$ is a boundary solution.

When $\Delta c_M \geq \Delta p$, the optimal investment strategy depends on the relative cost of transportation $c_T$:

(i) If $c_T < \hat{c}_T$, it is optimal to centralize commonality in the low - cost market.
(ii) If $c_T \geq \hat{c}_T$, it is optimal to invest commonality in both markets.

Reference: L. X. Lu and J. A. Van Mieghem. 2006 “To Offshore or Not to Offshore: Sourcing and Location of Commonality in Multiplant Networks”, Working paper, Kellog, Northwestern Univ
Managerial Insights on Offshoring

Offshore (centralize commonality in Asia)

Arises from Optimization (also depends on price differential, capacity costs, and market characteristics)

$\bar{c}_T = \text{Mfg. Cost Diff.}$

Volatility

Transportation Cost

Reference: L. X. Lu and J. A. Van Mieghem. 2006 “To Offshore or Not to Offshore: Sourcing and Location of Commonality in Multiplant Networks”, Working paper, Kellog, Northwestern Univ
Making Offshoring Decisions when Transportation is Expensive

Reference: L. X. Lu and J. A. Van Mieghem. 2006 “To Offshore or Not to Offshore: Sourcing and Location of Commonality in Multiplant Networks”, Working paper, Kellog, Northwestern Univ
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What Are The Implications Of Supply And Demand Uncertainty For The Extent Of Vertical Integration Strategies Companies Use In Various Product Markets?

- **CORE ACTIVITIES**: Firm exhibits strong competency, has effectively competed on, and demonstrates efficiency in executing (no outside supply of core activities)

- **NON-CORE ACTIVITIES**: Firm has not traditionally exhibited competency and/or invested substantially in the past (outside supply complements non-core output of the firm)

Effectiveness of Extreme Integration Policies

Profit performance of various policies at different levels of mean supply.
Changes in Demand and Supply Distributions and Effects on Extent of Vertical Integration
Optimality of “INVESTMENT, STAY PUT, DISINVESTMENT (ISD)” Policies

The Borders of Region R are Upward Sloping (Supermodularity)
Dynamic Effects of Demand and Supply Changes

Alternatives for Investment Change based on Supply and Demand Changes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Possible Alternatives</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increasing Mean Demand</td>
<td>R,1,7,8</td>
<td>Higher c_n implies 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Higher c_c implies 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increasing Mean Supply</td>
<td>R,5,6,7</td>
<td>Higher c_c implies 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lower r_n implies 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decreasing Demand Variance Core Capacity&lt;Mean Demand</td>
<td>R,1,7,8</td>
<td>Higher c_n implies 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Higher c_c implies 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decreasing Demand Variance Core Capacity&gt;Mean Demand</td>
<td>R,3,4,5</td>
<td>Lower r_n implies 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lower r_c implies 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decreasing Supply Variance</td>
<td>R,3,4,5</td>
<td>Lower r_n implies 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lower r_c implies 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Supply Chain Capacity Expansion in Environments of Demand and Supply Uncertainty

CONDITIONS FOR INCREASED DEGREE OF VERTICAL INTEGRATION

- Stronger Product Demand
- Weak or Limited Supply Infrastructure
- Decreased Demand Uncertainty
- Increased Supply Uncertainty
- Increased Cost of Outside Supply
  (Reverse Conditions for More Outsourcing)
## Extent of Vertical Integration in Emerging Markets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types of Variables</th>
<th>Conditions of Variables</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Country Environment</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market Size and Growth</td>
<td>N-VI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor Cost</td>
<td>N-VI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor Skill</td>
<td>F-VI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FG Import Control</td>
<td>N-VI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RM Import Control</td>
<td>N-VI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Company Characteristics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Product</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Maturity</td>
<td>F-VI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Line Diversity</td>
<td>N-VI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Technology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Maturity</td>
<td>F-VI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Complexity</td>
<td>N-VI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Stability</td>
<td>F-VI</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Case: Mattel – Managing Global Outsourcing & Supply Risk in the Toy Industry

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product Supply</th>
<th>Outsourcing strategy</th>
<th>Outsourcing improves economies of scale and asset utilizations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Combine off-settings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing Capacity</td>
<td>Seasonal Products</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logistics Capacity</td>
<td>Consolidation</td>
<td>Larger volumes create economies of scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Supplemental Outsourcing</td>
<td>Surge capacity during peaks outsourced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Electronic Supply Chain</td>
<td>Knowledge of channel inventory ensures product is supplied to those with true need</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Product Diversions</td>
<td>Moving excess products to alternative or overseas channel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Channel Coordination</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Retail Ready Products</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Air Freight</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currency Fluctuations</td>
<td>Financial Hedging</td>
<td>Contracts in stable currency, forward contracts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diversify Supply</td>
<td>Several supplier in different countries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Operational Hedging</td>
<td>Several plants in different countries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supply Disruptions from Political issues</td>
<td>Diversify Supply</td>
<td>Several suppliers/plants in different countries</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Future Research Directions

- Improved understanding of operational – financial hedging for global supply chains
  - Relative importance of various hedges
  - Operations/financial hedges: Complements or Substitutes
  - Other forms of operational hedges firms use in global SCs (acquisitions/product line/technology choices/channel strategies)
  - Operational hedges enhance value of firms: empirical evidence
  - Modeling of competitive exposure to exchange rates & rate of operational hedges
Future Research Directions (Contd.)

- Design & planning of global supply chains: refined understanding of planning, location choice and SC design decision process; effect of non-traditional factors
  - Do taxes matter for location choices? For what firms? What aspects of International Taxation should enter our models?
  - Transfer pricing & global supply chain planning
  - Supply chain coordination & global environment: nature of coordinating contracts
  - Competition among global supply chains: implications for firms with limited control over supply chain
  - Survey of effects of regional trade agreements on global supply chains
  - Hierarchical planning process reflecting global organizational structure
**Future Research Directions (Contd.)**

- Global (out) sourcing strategies:
  - Selecting business process partners vs. suppliers
  - Partnership dynamics (from partnership to competition)
  - Refined understanding of risks & their management: operational (ability to execute in short term) vs. structural (relationship)
  - Outsourcing choices: not only location but also governance structure (spectrum of choices)
  - E-procurement & effects on global outsourcing
  - Role of intermediaries (supply chain integrators)