

## Hypothesis Testing

We begin with a statement – the null hypothesis – “on trial.” At the end of the trial, we will find that the evidence at hand either contradicts the statement to some extent (i.e., the evidence supports a finding of “guilty”), or doesn’t really contradict the statement (i.e., the evidence doesn’t support a finding of “guilty,” so we find it “not (shown to be) guilty”).

Think of a hypothetical world in which the statement on trial is true. (If there’s more than one such world, choose the one which most closely fits the observed data.)

The *significance level* of the data (with respect to the statement on trial) is

Prob (                      we’d see data at                      the study that yielded the data  
    least as contradictory                      at hand were to be conducted  
    to the statement as is                      in the hypothetical world  
    the data at hand                                      where the statement is true                      )

We interpret the significance level of the data using this “translation” table:

If the numeric significance level of the data is	then the data, all by itself, makes us	and the data supports the alternative
above 20%	not at all suspicious	not at all
between 10% and 20%	a little bit suspicious	a little bit
between 5% and 10%	moderately suspicious	moderately
between 2% and 5%	very suspicious	strongly
between 1% and 2%	extremely suspicious	very strongly
below 1%	overwhelmingly suspicious	overwhelmingly

We never conclude that the evidence *supports* the statement on trial (i.e., the statement is never found “innocent”). Therefore, if our ultimate goal is to see if evidence supports a statement, we must put the opposite statement on trial, and see if the evidence contradicts that opposite statement.