What’s A Dream Team’s DNA?

Businesses could learn some team dynamics from Broadway, scientists say.
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the team members themselves. Researchers at Northwestern studied jobs in four fields of science: economics, ecology and astronomy.

The key element for success is the addition of newcomers who bring different viewpoints and access to a cross-section of industry colleagues, Logothetis and his colleagues said.

“Teams that have mixed ages, and/or new people, did better than those without new people,” Logothetis said.

Researchers at the Northwestern study followed 24 teams that had formed since 1987 to determine characteristics of a great team. They also study published accounts in four fields of science:

The results were the same: Teams that repeated the same collaborations again and again were more likely to find new directions and publish in four fields of science.
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