

# Module 2: Portfolio Choice & Insurance

Information Economics (Ec 515) · George Georgiadis

- Suppose an investor has initial wealth  $w$  and utility  $u(\cdot)$ .
  - Assume that  $u' > 0 > u''$ .
- Investor chooses between two assets:
  - safe asset, which yields a return  $1 + r$  for every dollar invested with probability 1 ( $r > 0$ ).
  - risky asset, which yields a return of  $1 + R$  for every dollar invested
  - $R$  takes values  $R_1 < R_2 < \dots < R_n$ , with probabilities  $p_1, \dots, p_n$ .
- Assume that:  $R_1 < r$  and  $\mathbb{E}[R] = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i R_i > r$ .
- *Investor's choice*: how much to invest in each asset.
- Let  $A$  be the amount of money she invests in the risky asset.
- Then, the investor's expected return is given by

$$A \sum_{i=1}^n p_i (1 + R_i) + (w - A) (1 + r).$$

- Investor's problem:

$$\max_A \sum_{i=1}^n p_i u(A(1 + R_i) + (w - A)(1 + r))$$

- First order conditions:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i u'(A^*(1 + R_i) + (w - A^*)(1 + r)) (R_i - r) = 0.$$

- Second order conditions?
  - Always satisfied! (Explain.)
- There is a unique  $A^*$  that solves this equation.
  - If  $\mathbb{E}[R] = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i R_i > r$ , then  $A^* > 0$ .
  - Proof by contradiction.
- If  $u$  has DARA, then  $A$  is increasing in  $w$ .

## An Application: Insurance Problem

- A consumer buying insurance.
- Two possible outcomes (states of nature): good (G) and bad (B).
- *Example:*
  - Good outcome: your house doesn't burn down.
  - Bad outcome: your house burns down.
- Consumer's income:
  - Income at state G:  $y$ .
  - Income at state B:  $y - L$ .
  - Assume  $y > L$ .
- G occurs with probability  $p \in (0, 1)$  (B with prob.  $1 - p$ )
- Consumer can buy coverage (insurance).
- If consumers buys coverage  $C$ :
  - He receives  $C$  from insurance company if outcome is B.
  - He receives 0 from insurance company if outcome is G.
- Getting coverage  $C$  costs  $\pi C$  ( $\pi$  = cost of coverage).
- Consumer chooses coverage level  $C$ .

- Consumer's income if he purchases coverage  $C$ :
  - Income at state G:  $y_G = y - \pi C$
  - Income at state B:  $y_B = y - L - \pi C + C = y - L + (1 - \pi)C$
- Note that  $\pi < 1$ : otherwise, the consumer will not purchase insurance.
- What effect does a marginal increase in  $C$  have on  $y_G$  and  $y_B$ ?

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial y_G}{\partial C} &= -\pi \\ \frac{\partial y_B}{\partial C} &= 1 - \pi \Rightarrow \\ \frac{\partial y_B}{\partial C} / \frac{\partial y_G}{\partial C} &= -\frac{1 - \pi}{\pi}\end{aligned}$$

- By marginally increasing  $C$ , you trade off  $1 - \pi$  units of consumption in bad state by  $\pi$  units of consumption in good state.
- $\frac{1-\pi}{\pi}$  is called the “price-ratio”.
- Given  $(y_G, y_B)$ , the consumer's utility is:

$$U(y_G, y_B) = pu(y_G) + (1 - p)u(y_B).$$

- Assume that  $u' > 0$  and  $u'' < 0$ : The consumer likes more income and he is risk averse.
- Consumer's problem

$$\max_C \{pu(y - \pi C) + (1 - p)u(y - L - \pi C + C)\}.$$

- First order conditions:

$$pu'(\underbrace{y - \pi C^*}_{y_G^*})(-\pi) + (1 - p)u'(\underbrace{y - L + (1 - \pi)C^*}_{y_B^*})(1 - \pi) = 0.$$

- This implies that:

$$(1 - p)u'(y_B^*)(1 - \pi) = pu'(y_G^*)\pi \Rightarrow \frac{1 - \pi}{\pi} = \frac{pu'(y_G^*)}{(1 - p)u'(y_B^*)}.$$

- *Solution:* MRS = Price ratio
- Second order conditions?
- Suppose  $1 - p \geq \pi$ . Then,  $C^* > 0$ .
  - If price of insurance is lower than probability of bad outcome, consumer will buy coverage.

**Example:** Suppose  $u(y) = \ln y$ , so  $u'(y) = \frac{1}{y}$ .

- In this case:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1 - \pi}{\pi} &= \frac{pu'(y_G)}{(1 - p)u'(y_B)} \\ &= \frac{py_B}{(1 - p)y_G}. \end{aligned}$$

- Since  $y_G = y - \pi C$  and  $y_B = y - L - \pi C + C$ ,

$$\frac{1 - \pi}{\pi} = \frac{p}{1 - p} \frac{y - L - \pi C + C}{y - \pi C}.$$

- This equation pins down the level of coverage  $C$ . Solving for  $C$  yields:

$$C = \frac{\frac{y}{\pi}(1 - \pi - p) + pL}{1 - \pi}.$$

- Assuming  $1 - \pi - p > 0$ , level of coverage is increasing in  $L$  and  $y$ .

- What about changes in  $p$ ?

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial p} = \frac{L - \frac{y}{\pi}}{1 - \pi} = \frac{\pi L - y}{\pi(1 - \pi)}.$$

- Since  $y > L$ , agent buys less coverage as  $p$  increases.
- As  $p$  increases, bad state becomes less likely.

- What about changes in  $\pi$ ?

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial \pi} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \pi} \left( \frac{\frac{y}{\pi}(1 - \pi - p) + pL}{1 - \pi} \right).$$

- This derivative is negative:
- As price of coverage increases, agent buys less coverage.

## Perfect Competition in the Insurance Sector

- What are the profits of the insurance company?
  - Insurance company earns  $\pi C$  on the consumer.
  - Insurance company pays consumer an amount  $C$  with probability  $1 - p$ .
- Total expected profits:  $\pi C - (1 - p)C = (\pi + p - 1)C$ .
  - Suppose that there is perfect competition in the insurance sector.
  - Profits of the insurance company are zero, so  $\pi = 1 - p$ .

- Recall FOCs:

$$\frac{1 - \pi}{\pi} = \frac{pu'(y_G^*)}{(1 - p)u'(y_B^*)}$$

- Under perfect competition in the insurance sector,  $\pi = 1 - p$ , so

$$1 = \frac{u'(y_G^*)}{u'(y_B^*)} \Rightarrow u'(y_G^*) = u'(y_B^*) \Rightarrow y_G^* = y_B^*.$$

- Consumer insures perfectly!

$$\begin{aligned} y_G &= y - \pi C = y - L - \pi C + C = y_B \Rightarrow \\ C &= L. \end{aligned}$$

## References

Mas-Colell, Whinston and Green, (1995), *Microeconomic Theory*, Oxford University Press.

Ortner J., (2013), Lecture Notes.