Module 11: A Simple Model of Reputation - Moral Hazard and Product Quality

Information Economics (Ec 515) · George Georgiadis

- Consider a firm that sells an "experience good".
 - Experience good: product or service where quality is difficult to observe in advance, but it can be ascertained upon consumption.
 - *Examples:* restaurant, bottle of wine, etc.
- $\circ\,$ Firm decides how much to invest product quality.
- Investments are unobservable, but consumers can learn the quality by purchasing the good.

Model

- $\circ~N$ homogenous consumers.
- Each consumer has utility $u = \theta s p$
 - Outside option 0.
 - So if a consumer expects quality s, then he will buy at price p iff $u = \theta s p \ge 0$.
- \circ The firm chooses price p and level of quality s.
 - Two possible levels of quality: s = 1 or s = 0.
 - Production cost of a good of quality s is c_s , where $0 < c_0 \le c_1 < \theta$. $(c_1 < \theta$ implies that it is always efficient to choose s = 1.)
- If the firm sells to (all) consumers at price p and produces a good of quality s, then its profits are $N(p c_s)$.
 - Otherwise, makes no sales, and its profits are 0.

• Timing:

- 1. Seller chooses product quality s, which is unobservable to consumers.
- 2. Seller sets price p.
- 3. Consumers decide whether to buy or not at price p.
- 4. Payoffs are realized for all parties.

Benchmark: First best

- Assume consumers observe quality.
- Case 1: Firm chooses s = 0. Then:
 - Consumers won't be willing to pay a positive price.
 - And so the firm's profit ≤ 0 .
- Case 2: Firm chooses s = 1. Then:
 - Consumers will buy iff $u = \theta p \ge 0$.
 - So the firm will charge $p = \theta$ and make profit $N(\theta c_1) > 0$.

Moral Hazard

- Now assume that consumers cannot observe quality.
- Suppose there is an equilibrium in which the firm provides a high quality product.
- If consumers expect quality s = 1, then they will be willing to pay any $p \leq \theta$.
- But what will the firm do?
 - Given price p > 0, it is optimal to cut quality and set s = 0.
 - By doing this, the firm saves quality costs $c_1 c_0$.
- Thus, there exists no equilibrium in which firm provides high quality goods.
- Consumers anticipate this, and so the firm can charge at most p = 0.
- If p = 0, then the firm's profit $= -Nc_0 < 0$, so the firm prefers to not make sales; *i.e.*, the market shuts down.

Can we do better?

- So far, the model assumed that consumers cannot learn quality before buying.
- \circ In most cases, some consumers can learn quality in advance (*e.g.*, by reading consumer reviews).
- We will show that informed consumers exert a *positive externality* on the uninformed ones.
 - *i.e.*, they drive up the quality of the firm's product.
- Assume that M < N consumers are perfectly informed:
 - They learn the quality of the good before buying.
 - *Simplifying assumption:* Ideas would still go through if they observed a signal of product quality.
- Informed consumers are willing to pay:
 - $-p = \theta$ if quality is high, and
 - -p=0 if quality is low.
- The remaining N M consumers observe product quality after they buy the good.
- Suppose that the monopolist charges $p \in (0, \theta]$.
 - Informed consumers buy only if quality is high; otherwise, they don't buy.
 - * If firm chooses s = 1, then it obtains profit $M(p c_1)$ from informed buyers.
 - * Otherwise, firm earns zero profits from informed buyers.
 - What would uninformed consumers do?
 - * Suppose they don't buy, so that demand only comes from the informed consumers.
 - * In this case, the firm's optimal strategy is to choose s = 1 (provided $p > c_1$).
 - * Hence, if $p \in (c_1, \theta]$, the uninformed consumers should buy.

- Suppose next that uninformed consumers buy. Then the firm's profit is
 - * $N(p-c_1)$ if it chooses s = 1 (*i.e.*, high quality).
 - * $(N M)(p c_0)$ if it chooses s = 0 (*i.e.*, low quality).
- Therefore, the firm will provide high quality if and only if

$$N(p-c_1) \geq (N-M)(p-c_0)$$

$$\iff p \geq \frac{Nc_1 - (N-M)c_0}{M}$$

- If this inequality doesn't hold, there cannot be an equilibrium with high quality.
- There is an equilibrium with high quality if and only if

$$\frac{Nc_1 - (N - M)c_0}{M} \le \theta$$

- If the price is high, then the firm is afraid of losing a large profit margin on informed buyers, which makes low quality less attractive.
- Same is true if the number of informed consumers (i.e., M) is large.

- Increasing the number of informed consumers favors high quality (and efficiency).

Repeated Game

- Consider now an infinitely repeated version of the model above.
- In each period t = 0, 1, 2, ..., the firm chooses product quality $s_t \in \{0, 1\}$.

- Assume that product quality is not observable by any consumers.

- After choosing quality s_t , the firms sets a price p_t .
- \circ Consumers choose in each period t whether to buy or not.
- Let $\delta \in (0, 1)$ be the common discount factor.
- \circ Consumers who bought in period t learn the quality that the seller chose (after buying).
- As we saw before, in a static model the seller will not choose high quality.

- We will show that the seller may sell a high quality good in this setting with repeated interaction.
- We look for an equilibrium of the following type:
 - Consumers base their expectations of quality on the firm's "reputation".
 - The firm's reputation at t is measured by quality at previous periods.
 - * At t = 0, consumers expect quality to be high.
 - * At t > 0, consumers expect quality to be high only if quality was high at all prior periods.
 - * If quality is low at some period t, then consumers expect quality to be low forever.
 - After observing low quality, consumers are not willing to pay a price higher than 0.

• Strategy:

- At t = 0 firm provides high quality and charges price $p_1 > 0$.
- Firm keeps on providing high quality (and charging price p_1) if it has provided high quality in all previous periods.
- After a deviation, it provides low quality and charges $p_0 = 0$.
- *Note:* The firm's payoffs after a deviation are zero forever.
- If firm never deviates, then its discounted profit is

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \delta^{t} N(p_{1} - c_{1}) = \frac{N(p_{1} - c_{1})}{1 - \delta}.$$

 \circ If firm instead deviates in period t, then its discounted profit is

$$N\left(p_1 - c_0\right) + \delta 0$$

- It earns $N(p_1 - c_0)$ in the period that it deviates, and 0 forever after.

• Therefore, deviation is not profitable if

$$\frac{N(p_1 - c_1)}{1 - \delta} \geq N(p_1 - c_0)$$
$$\iff p_1 - c_1 \geq (1 - \delta)(c_1 - c_0)$$

- Profit margin that firm gets must be large enough.
- If inequality holds, future profits are more valuable than short term gain from deviating.
- Inequality more likely to hold if δ is large and when $p_1 c_1$ is large.
- In this equilibrium, "reputation" only matters because the consumers believe that it matters.
- If they believed that the firm would produce low quality regardless of the previous history, the firm would have no incentive to produce high quality.
- Hence, the consumers' expectations would be fulfilled.

References

Ortner J., (2013), Lecture Notes.