Discussion of "Cross-Sectional Financial Conditions, Business Cycles and The Lending Channel," by Thiago Ferreira

Nicolas Crouzet

Kellogg

Skewness is cyclical

• The distribution of *realized* sales growth is more left-skewed in recessions Salgado, Guvenen, Bloom (2019)



Distribution of sales growth among public non-financial firms

Kelley skewness of sales growth 0.30 0.20 ٨٨ 0.10 0.00 -0.10 -0.20 199001 199291 199491 199691 199891 200001 200201 200401 20069 20891 20109 201291 201491 201691 201891 202991

Skewness is cyclical

- The distribution of *realized* sales growth is more left-skewed in recessions Salgado, Guvenen, Bloom (2019)
- The *options-implied* distribution of equity returns is more left-skewed in recessions Dew-Becker (2022)

Skewness is cyclical

- The distribution of *realized* sales growth is more left-skewed in recessions Salgado, Guvenen, Bloom (2019)
- The *options-implied* distribution of equity returns is more left-skewed in recessions Dew-Becker (2022)

This paper:

New measure of skewness, focused on financial intermediaries

Skewness of Realized equity returns of Financial intermediaries — "SRF"

Main findings

- · SRF leads the cycle by 3-4 quarters
- · SRF is positively correlated with banks' ROA and primary dealers' equity capital ratio
- $\cdot\,$ SRF predicts growth in aggregate outstanding loans to corporations
- $\cdot\,$ SRF predicts capex by public firms

Main findings

- · SRF leads the cycle by 3-4 quarters
- · SRF is positively correlated with banks' ROA and primary dealers' equity capital ratio
- $\cdot\,$ SRF predicts growth in aggregate outstanding loans to corporations
- · SRF predicts capex by public firms

Comments

- 1 Empirics
- 2 Banks vs. non-bank financial intermediaries
- 3 Interpreting the evidence

Comment 1(a): third and first-moment shocks

	Financial Firms		
Variable =	Mean	Dispersion	Skewness
Variable	0.74***	0.54	0.74***
Uncertainty	$-0.07^{}$	-0.28	-0.07
Real Fed Funds	0.34	0.28	0.35
Term Spread	0.89***	0.86^{***}	0.94^{***}
EBP	-0.44*	-0.71^{**}	-0.32
\mathbf{R}^2	0.37	0.34	0.41

SRF predicts 4-quarter ahead GDP growth

Comment 1(a): third and first-moment shocks



SRF predicts 4-quarter ahead GDP growth

But SRF is highly correlated with first moment of equity returns of Financial Intermediaries

Comment 1(a): third and first-moment shocks



SRF predicts 4-quarter ahead GDP growth

But SRF is highly correlated with first moment of equity returns of Financial Intermediaries

Suggestion: in predictive regressions, control for first moments throughout

Comment 1(b): cross-sectional vs. within-firm skewness

$$r_{i,t} = r_{m,t} + \varepsilon_{i,t}$$

Dew-Becker (2022):

S&P 500 options \rightarrow "aggregate" skewness single-name options \rightarrow "firm-level" skewness idiosyncratic skewness = residual

only "idiosyncratic skewness" is procyclical

This paper:

cross-sectional skewness = combination of aggregate and idiosyncratic

Suggestion: use panel dimension to construct within-firm measures?



Papers emphasizes the fact that skewness of credit spreads is countercyclical



Papers emphasizes the fact that skewness of credit spreads is countercyclical

Is this surprising? Credit spreads are positive



Papers emphasizes the fact that skewness of credit spreads is countercyclical

Is this surprising? Credit spreads are positive



 $s_{i,t} \sim \text{Lognormal}(\mu_t, \sigma^2), \quad \log(\mu_t) \sim AR(1)$



Papers emphasizes the fact that skewness of credit spreads is countercyclical

Is this surprising? Credit spreads are positive

Suggestion: construct within-rating skewness measures

SRF predicts

Corporate loan growth (aggregate) Capex (firm-level)

SRF does not predict

Bond or commercial paper growth (aggregate)

:. "SRF is a barometer of the credit channel"

Traditional (bank) credit channel

Traditional (bank) credit channel

[Crouzet, 2021; Schwert, 2021; Berg et al., 2021]

Loans account for a shrinking share of corporate debt

The loan share in corporate debt has been declining since 1990



Traditional (bank) credit channel

[Crouzet, 2021; Schwert, 2021; Berg et al., 2021]

Loans account for a shrinking share of corporate debt

Within loans: institutional investors (CLOs, loan funds) are replacing banks

Traditional (bank) credit channel

[Crouzet, 2021; Schwert, 2021; Berg et al., 2021]

Loans account for a shrinking share of corporate debt

Within loans: institutional investors (CLOs, loan funds) are replacing banks

Emerging (non-bank) credit channel

[Li and Yu, 2021; Crouzet and Darmouni, 2022]

Traditional (bank) credit channel

[Crouzet, 2021; Schwert, 2021; Berg et al., 2021]

Loans account for a shrinking share of corporate debt

Within loans: institutional investors (CLOs, loan funds) are replacing banks

Emerging (non-bank) credit channel

[Li and Yu, 2021; Crouzet and Darmouni, 2022]

Bonds; pension funds/insurance companies $\sim 40\%$; Mutual funds $\sim 20\%$

Traditional (bank) credit channel

[Crouzet, 2021; Schwert, 2021; Berg et al., 2021]

Loans account for a shrinking share of corporate debt

Within loans: institutional investors (CLOs, loan funds) are replacing banks

Emerging (non-bank) credit channel

[Li and Yu, 2021; Crouzet and Darmouni, 2022]

Bonds; pension funds/insurance companies $\sim 40\%$; Mutual funds $\sim 20\%$

Different liability structure

Traditional (bank) credit channel

[Crouzet, 2021; Schwert, 2021; Berg et al., 2021]

Loans account for a shrinking share of corporate debt

Within loans: institutional investors (CLOs, loan funds) are replacing banks

Emerging (non-bank) credit channel

[Li and Yu, 2021; Crouzet and Darmouni, 2022]

Bonds; pension funds/insurance companies $\sim 40\%$; Mutual funds $\sim 20\%$ Different liability structure

Suggestion: How bank-centric is SRF?

Separate banks from other intermediaries

Are the effects of SRF concentrated on firms that use loan markets actively?

Comment 3: Interpreting the evidence

"The cross-sectional state of financial firms' balance sheets is an important component of business cycles"

Model

Cross-sectional moments of banker net worth are not state variables

Skewness comes from assuming that shocks to returns on bankers' investments are skewed

Broader question: where does skewness come from?

Are "fundamentals" skewed?

Or is skewness a manifestation of underlying frictions?

A simple model (1/2)

[Crouzet and Darmouni, 2022]

Non-financial corporation (NFC)

AK w/ capital quality shocks: $K_{t+1} = \xi_t (I_t + (1 - \delta)K_t), \quad \xi_t \sim F(.)$ i.i.d. all-equity financed

Household

can buy NFC shares (utility cost χ)

can buy bank liabilities

Bank

issues equity and deposits s.t. leverage constraint \overline{x}

buys NFC shares

limited liability + exit if liquidated; replaced only in the following period

A simple model (2/2)

Equilibrium

$$\zeta_t = \mathbf{1} \{ \text{intermediary default} \} = \mathbf{1} \{ \xi_t \leq \xi_L \}$$

when $\zeta_t = 0$: household holds all NFC shares; no active intermediary when $\zeta_t = 1$: intermediary holds all NFC shares; intermediary leverage is \overline{x}

 \therefore balanced growth with shocks:

$$\begin{aligned} K_{t+1} &= \xi_{t+1}(1+g_t)K_t, \\ g_t &= \zeta_t g_L + (1-\zeta_t)g_H, \quad g_L < g_H. \end{aligned}$$

Question: Are returns skewed? Does skewness depend on ζ_t ?

Realized returns

[Crouzet and Darmouni, 2022]

Equity returns of NFC:

$$R_t^{(e)} = (A + 1 - \delta)\xi$$

skew $(R_t^{(e)}) = \text{skew}(\xi_t)$

Equity returns of intermediary:

$$R_t^{(i)} = \frac{(A+1-\delta)}{1-\overline{x}} \left(\xi_t - \xi_L\right) \zeta_t$$

skew $(R_t^{(i)}) > \text{skew}(\xi_t)$

Intermediary shares are a call option on NFC shares

Interpreting the results

Interpretation 1 (this paper): Skewness of fundamentals is pro-cyclical

i.e. ξ_t , the shock *to non-financial firms*, has pro-cyclical skewness but then why focus on SRF, instead of non-financial firms?

Interpretation 2: Intermediation induces pro-cyclical skewness

if only levered (bank) intermediaries: call option intuition hard to escape

if other types of intermediaries (e.g. mutual funds)

limited intermediary leverage : equity returns of intermediaries are more left-skewed amplification of negative shocks if investor outflows lead to fire-sales [Ma et al., 2021]

Conclusion

Summary: SRF correlates with

4q-ahead GDP growth

Intermediary balance sheet strength

Loan growth

Suggestions:

Document realized skewness within intermediaries

Disaggregate SRF across types of financial intermediaries

Are "fundamental" shocks skewed, or do financial frictions induce skewness?