Module 2: Monopoly & Welfare Loss

Market Organization & Public Policy (Ec 731)  ·  George Georgiadis

- So far, we have seen that monopoly leads to higher prices (and hence lower quantities), and higher profits.

- But is the total social welfare higher or lower in a monopoly?
  - Total surplus = (firms’ profits) + (consumer surplus); or = (total consumer utility) - (production costs).
  - In a monopoly, consumer surplus is always lower (relative to perfect competition).
  - But it could be that the increase in the firm’s profit more than offsets the decrease in consumer surplus.

- Lower! Illustrate graphically. (Example with linear demand and marginal cost functions.)

- Under monopoly pricing:
  - The firm sets $p_m$, which corresponds to demand $q_m = P^{-1}(p_m)$.
  - Net consumer surplus is the area DECD.
  - Firm’s profit = $p_m q_m$ - (integral of the marginal cost) = area CEFAC.
  - Total surplus = area DEFAD.

- Under marginal-cost pricing:
  - The firm sets $p_c$, which corresponds to demand $q_c = P^{-1}(p_c)$.
  - Net consumer surplus is the area DGBD. (Explain)
  - Firm’s profit = $p_c q_c$ - cost = area BGAB.
- Total surplus = area DGAD.

- The dead-weight welfare loss is equal to the area EGFE (difference between DEFAD and DGAD).

- Can monopoly ever be welfare enhancing?
  - Yes, if there are significant economies of scale in production \(i.e., c'(q)\) is decreasing.

**Two types of monopolies:**

1. Natural (or *inevitable*) monopolies

   - Occur when the cost structure deters entry.
     - Large entry costs or significant economies of scale.
     - If large firms are always more efficient, then it doesn’t make sense to have more than one.

   - Some examples?
     - Electric utility companies
– Telephone networks
– Public transportation (in many settings)

2. Unnatural monopolies

○ Price fixing (cartels) and tacit collusion
  – Firms agree explicitly or implicitly to keep prices above competitive levels.
  – Illegal in the US and most developed countries.

○ Intellectual property
  – Either private trade secrets or government protection (*e.g.*, patents).
  – We will talk about it later in the quarter.

○ Lobbying and protection
  – Very harmful, both from static and dynamic perspective.
  – Try to stop by restrictions on lobbying.
  – *e.g.*, “Anti-Tesla” dealer laws: in many states in the US, vehicles must be sold through an independent dealer.

○ Temporary advantage / first-to-market
  – Resolves itself over time.
  – Transparency and low bureaucracy helps aid entry.
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