

Module 13: Information Disclosure

Information Economics (Ec 515) · George Georgiadis

Unraveling and the Full Disclosure Theorem

- Informed seller and 2 risk-neutral uninformed buyers (Bertrand competition).
- Quality $\theta_i \in \{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_N\}$ of product is known privately by the seller
 - Buyers hold probability distribution over θ_i and $\mathbb{E}[\theta_i] = \bar{\theta}$.
- Seller can make verifiable costless disclosure about product quality.
 - Seller cannot make manifestly false claim (as opposed to cheap talk).
 - If quality is θ_i then can report $r_i = \{\theta_i, \dots, \theta_N\}$ (“quality of my product is at least θ_i ”) or not disclose $r_i = \emptyset$.
- Buyer observes disclosure and chooses to offer price p .
- Final payoffs are
 - Buyer: $U_S = p$
 - Seller: $U_B = \theta - p$
- Equilibrium price (due to Bertrand competition): $p(r_i) = \mathbb{E}[\theta_i | r_i]$

Analysis

- Consider seller of the highest quality θ_N .
 - Strict incentive to disclose quality since $\mathbb{E}[\theta_i | r_i = \emptyset] < \theta_N$

- If the highest-quality seller discloses, then if a seller does not disclose, his quality can be at most θ_{N-1} .
- Now, consider seller of second-highest quality θ_{N-1} .
 - Strict incentive to disclose since $\mathbb{E}[\theta_i \mid r_i = \emptyset] < \theta_{N-1}$.
 - Therefore, if a seller does not disclose, his quality can be at most θ_{N-1} .
- ... and so on!

Full Disclosure

- To complete induction argument, suppose that seller of quality $\theta_i > \theta_1$ does not disclose.
- Consider choice of the seller of quality $\theta_j \geq \theta_i$
 - Disclose quality θ_j : receive $p = \theta_j$
 - Do not disclose: get pooled with θ_i and θ_1 (for whom disclosing is weakly dominated) and receive lower price.
- *Result:* Unraveling and full disclosure!
 - Why do we need mandatory disclosure laws?
- ... but it relies heavily on rather strong assumptions!
 - Sellers must always be perfectly informed about their quality.
 - Absence of disclosure costs.

Imperfectly Informed Sellers

- Simplified setting where $\theta_i \in \{\theta_B, \theta_G\}$ and $\theta_B < \theta_G$ with $\Pr(\theta_i = \theta_G) = \beta$.
 - Seller can disclose type or not disclose.
- Sellers are imperfectly informed:
 - with probability $\gamma < 1$, seller is informed ; and
 - with probability $1 - \gamma$, seller is uninformed (like buyer).

Analysis

- Consider the following strategy:
 - sellers of good quality θ_G disclose their type
 - sellers of bad quality θ_B do not disclose their type (and pool with uninformed)
- Equilibrium price is then given by

$$\begin{aligned} p(r_i = \theta_G) &= \theta_G \\ p(r_i = \emptyset) &= \frac{(1-\gamma)[\beta\theta_G + (1-\beta)\theta_B] + \gamma(1-\beta)\theta_B}{(1-\gamma) + \gamma(1-\beta)} > \theta_B \end{aligned}$$

- Why is this an equilibrium?

Information Acquisition

- What if the seller (or the buyer) can make a costly investment to become informed prior to the sale? (Shavel, RAND 1994)
 - Mandatory vs. voluntary disclosure.
- Mandatory disclosure:
 - $p_G = \theta_G$ or $p_B = \theta_B$ when informed (and is forced to disclose).
 - $p = \beta\theta_G + (1-\beta)\theta_B$ when uninformed.
 - No incentive to become informed since sellers get expected value anyway!

- Voluntary disclosure:

- $p(r_i = \emptyset) = \frac{(1-\gamma)[\beta\theta_G + (1-\beta)\theta_B] + \gamma(1-\beta)\theta_B}{(1-\gamma) + \gamma(1-\beta)}$ when uninformed.
- $p(r_i = \theta_G) = \theta_G$ or $p(r_i = \emptyset)$ when informed.
- Benefit from becoming informed:

$$\beta\theta_G + (1-\beta)p(r_i = \emptyset) - p(r_i = \emptyset) = \beta[\theta_G - p(r_i = \emptyset)] > 0$$

- But incentives are socially inefficient because $p(r_i = \emptyset) > \theta_B$.

References

- Grossman S.J., (1981), “The Informational Role of Warranties and Private Disclosure about Product Quality”, *Journal of Law and Economics*.
- Grossman S.J. and Hart O.D., (1980), “Disclosure Laws and Takeover Bids”, *Journal of Finance*.
- Ederer F., (2011), Lecture Notes.
- Milgrom P.R., (1981), “Good News and Bad News: Representation Theorems and Applications”, *Bell Journal of Economics*.
- Okuno-Fujiwara M, Postlewaite A., and Suzumura K., (1990), “Strategic Information Revelation”, *Review of Economic Studies*.
- Shavel S., (1994), “Acquisition and Disclosure of Information Prior to Sale”, *RAND Journal of Economics*.
- Shin H.S., (1994), “News Management and the Value of Firms”, *RAND Journal of Economics*.